UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,613	12/14/2005	Kenji Tochigi	047084-0125	5435
	7590 07/28/200 LARDNER LLP	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREE		TAOUSAKIS, ALEXANDER P		
WASHINGTON			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/28/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/550,6	313	TOCHIGI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examine	r	Art Unit	
	ALEXAN	DER P. TAOUSAKIS	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this comr Period for Reply	nunication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM TH - Extensions of time may be available under the proviafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three more armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(E MAILING DATE OF T sions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecommunication. In statutory period will apply and reply will, by statute, cause the apths after the mailing date of this communication.	THIS COMMUNICATION EVENT, however, may a reply be tin will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from oplication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condit closed in accordance with the present the condition of the c	2b)☐ This action is ion for allowance excep	ot for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5 and 6 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 7-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to 8) Claim(s) are subject to respect to the subject to pending the specification is objected to be 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/applicant may not request that any objected to applicant may not request that any objected to be 10.	is/are withdrawn from b. striction and/or election the Examiner. are: a) □ accepted or b	requirement. o) objected to by the l		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) inclu	ding the correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CI	
11) The oath or declaration is objecte	d to by the Examiner. N	lote the attached Office	Action or form PI	O-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a cla a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priof 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priof 3. △ Copies of the certified cop application from the Intern * See the attached detailed Office a	f: rity documents have be rity documents have be les of the priority docum ational Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applicati nents have been receive ule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/550,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 3, 4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by Hibi et al (4,768,641) in view of Tsuchida et al (6,136,360).

1. Hibi et al teach a corrugated fin feeding apparatus for feeding corrugated fins to a temporary assembling device of a heat exchanger core which alternately arranges tubes and corrugated fins for a heat exchanger to temporarily assemble the heat exchanger core (see column 1 lines 13-19, where it discloses an assembling device for arranging heat exchanger corrugated fins for an automotive radiator, and column 1 lines 25-29, where it discloses it is well known to arrange the corrugated fins with tubes to form a heat exchanger), the corrugated fin feeding apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 3726

a cutting device which cuts a continuous fin material in corrugated form without stopping conveyance of the fin material toward a downstream side thereof to thereby produce corrugated fins having a predetermined length (see column 4 lines 10-14 and Figure 1); and

a conveying device (12, 20) (see Figure 1) which adds a force to the corrugated fins being cut (see Figure 1, and note that rollers 12 convey the fins to the cutter 14, the rollers add force, i.e. push, to transfer fins to the cutting station).

Hibi et al fails to teach a conveying device which adds a force caused by increasing a speed difference between a conveying speed of the conveying device relative to a conveying speed of the corrugated fins being cut by the cutting device (14).

Tsuchida et al teach a conveying device which adds a force caused by increasing a speed difference between a conveying speed of the conveying device relative to a conveying speed of the corrugated fins being cut by the cutting device (see column 3 lines 13-18).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a force onto the continuous fin material of Hibi et al by increasing the speed difference of the conveying device (12,20) relative to the cutting speed, as taught by Tsuchida et al, because it allows the fin material to be separated from each other at controlled predetermined lengths (see Tsuchida et al column 3 lines 19-24).

Application/Control Number: 10/550,613

Art Unit: 3726

3. Hibi et al teach the corrugated fin feeding apparatus according to claim 1 wherein said conveying device comprises: a belt conveyor (21) (see Figure 1) which has a belt to convey the corrugated fins (see Figure 1 and column 4 lines 25-27); and position determining portions (101) provided at predetermined intervals on the belt of said belt conveyor (see Figure 5), wherein the corrugated fins are arranged between said position determining portions to determine positions of the corrugated fins to thereby convey the corrugated fins at predetermined intervals (see column 4 lines 35-38, where it discloses that guide plates 101 determine the position of the fins by controlling their movements and forcing them into the proper positions).

Page 4

- 4. Hibi et al teach the corrugated fin feeding apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said accumulating device (23) comprises: a rotating shaft (25); and a plurality of said accumulating rooms (26) provided in parallel to an axial direction of said rotating shaft (see Figures 1 and 6 and 8-12), wherein the corrugated fins are accommodated while said plural accumulating rooms (26) are rotated in a circumferential direction of said rotating shaft (see column 4 lines 51-60), and said inserting device feeds after the rotation thereof the corrugated fins to the temporary assembling device of the heat exchanger core (see column 3 lines 22-30, where it discloses after accumulating the corrugated members, they are fed to an assembly, i.e. inserting device, to manufacture a heat exchanger).
- 9. Hibi et al teach the corrugated fin feeding apparatus according to claim 3,

wherein said accumulating device (23) comprises: a rotating shaft (25); and a plurality of said accumulating rooms (26) provided in parallel to an axial direction of said rotating shaft (see Figures 1 and 6 and 8-12), wherein the corrugated fins are accommodated while said plural accumulating rooms (26) are rotated in a circumferential direction of said rotating shaft (see column 4 lines 51-60), and said inserting device feeds after the rotation thereof the corrugated fins to the temporary assembling device of the heat exchanger core (see column 3 lines 22-30, where it discloses after accumulating the corrugated members, they are fed to an assembly, i.e. inserting device, to manufacture a heat exchanger).

Claims 2, 7, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hibi et al (4,768,641) in view of Tsuchida et al (6,136,360) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Bongiovanni et al (5,207,083)

- 2. Hibi et al/Tsuchida et al teach the corrugated fin feeding apparatus according to claim 1, further comprising:
- a forcible conveying device (20) which adds a driving force to the corrugated fins being conveyed to push out the corrugated fins toward a downstream side thereof and distributes the corrugated fins in predetermined directions (see Figure 1); an accumulating device (23) having a plurality of accumulating rooms (26) (see Figure 6) into which the corrugated fins are distributed and accommodated respectively (see column 4 lines 55-56);

an inserting device which feeds a predetermined number of the corrugated fins simultaneously to the temporary assembling device of the heat exchanger core when the predetermined number of the corrugated fins are accommodated into the accumulating rooms core (see column 3 lines 22-30, where it discloses after accumulating the corrugated members, they are fed to an assembly, i.e. inserting device, to manufacture a heat exchanger).

Hibi et al fails to teach a control device which synchronously controls said forcible conveying device, said accumulating device, and said inserting device based on positions of the corrugated fins determined by said conveying device.

Bongiovanni et al discloses a device (48) which controls various devices in a fin forming apparatus using various sensors and programmed control outputs (see Figure 1 and column 2 lines 1-11 and column 3 lines 14-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a control device onto the fin forming apparatus of Hibi et al, as taught by Bongiovanni et al, because it improves control over the manufacturing, improving quality and allowing for error correction.

- 7. Hibi et al/Tsuchida et al teach the corrugated fin feeding apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said conveying device comprises:
- a belt conveyor (21) (see Figure 1) which has a belt to convey the corrugated fins (see

Application/Control Number: 10/550,613

Art Unit: 3726

Page 7

Figure 1 and column 4 lines 25-27); and position determining portions (101) provided at predetermined intervals on the belt of said belt conveyor (see Figure 5), wherein the corrugated fins are arranged between said position determining portions to determine positions of the corrugated fins to thereby convey the corrugated fins at predetermined intervals (see column 4 lines 35-38, where it discloses that guide plates 101 determine the position of the fins by controlling their movements and forcing them into the proper positions).

8. Hibi et al/Tsuchida et al teach the corrugated fin feeding apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said accumulating device (23) comprises: a rotating shaft (25); and a plurality of said accumulating rooms (26) provided in parallel to an axial direction of said rotating shaft (see Figures 1 and 6 and 8-12), wherein the corrugated fins are accommodated while said plural accumulating rooms (26) are rotated in a circumferential direction of said rotating shaft (see column 4 lines 51-60), and said inserting device feeds after the rotation thereof the corrugated fins to the temporary assembling device of the heat exchanger core (see column 3 lines 22-30, where it discloses after accumulating the corrugated members, they are fed to an assembly, i.e. inserting device, to manufacture a heat exchanger).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 2 have been considered but are generally most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3726

Applicant's arguments filed 04/15/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bongiovanni fails to teach a controller which controls a plurality of devices, although the controller teaches controlling a motor (44), form rolls (22), stuff rolls (32), measuring rolls (44) and a cutout knife (36). This is not found persuasive because the main controller (45) is connected to the control circuit (48) and therefore has control over all the components that the control circuit (48) monitors (see Figure 1). The rolls (22, 32, 44) and the knife (36) are construed to be separate devices which the controller controls.

Applicant also argues that the combination with Bongiovanni is an Official Notice rejection and that using a controller onto an assembly is not known to one of ordinary skill in the art to improve control over manufacturing, improve quality or allow for error correction. This is not found persuasive because the rejection with Bongiovanni is not an Official Notice rejection, as a reference was used in the combination. It is advised that Applicant review MPEP § 2144.03. Furthermore, Bongiovanni discloses this motivation in column 1 lines 67-68 - column 2 lines 1-11, where it discloses improving the control over different aspects of the assembly and column 3 lines 56-68 - column 4 lines 1-5, where it discloses error correction.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/550,613 Page 9

Art Unit: 3726

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEXANDER P. TAOUSAKIS whose telephone number is (571)272-3497. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,613 Page 10

Art Unit: 3726

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alexander P Taousakis Examiner Art Unit 3726

/Alexander P Taousakis/ Examiner, Art Unit 3726

/DAVID P. BRYANT/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3726